

REMARKS

In an Examiner-initiated phone conversation on **15 January 2008**, Examiner indicated that claim 13 could be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Examiner further indicated that claims 14-19 could be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Examiner suggested that Applicant make amendments to these claims in order to avoid a formal rejection.

Amendments to the Specification

Applicant has amended the specification to limit the computer readable media to tangible embodiments. No new matter has been added.

Amendments to the Claims

Examiner indicated that the limitation “data structure” in claims 14, 16, and 19 was non-statutory. Although Applicant avers that **a data structure on a computer-readable storage medium is statutory**, Applicant has amended claims 14, 16, and 19, replacing “data structure” with “packet description” for readability. Applicant has also replaced “second data structure” with a “payload buffer” in claims 16 and 17 to correct a typographical error. These amendments find supports in page 7, line 25 to page 8, line 4, and page 8, lines 19-24 of the instant application. No new matter has been added.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 13, 14, and 20 are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2, 4, 6-12, which depend upon claim 1, claims 15-19, which depend upon claim 14, and claims 21-26, which depend upon claim 20, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the application is presently in form for allowance.
Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Anthony Jones/
Anthony Jones
Registration No. 59,521

Date: 16 January 2008

Anthony Jones
Park, Vaughan & Fleming LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95618-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1666
Fax: (530) 759-1665
Email: tony@parklegal.com